Experimental Identification of the Active Site in the Heteronuclear Redox Couples \([\text{AlVO}_x]^+/\text{CO}/\text{N}_2\text{O} \ (x = 3, 4)\) by Gas-Phase IR Spectroscopy
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Abstract: Cryogenic ion vibrational spectroscopy was used in combination with electronic structure calculations to identify the active site in the oxygen atom transfer reaction \([\text{AlVO}_x]^+ + \text{CO} \rightarrow [\text{AlVO}_x]^+ + \text{CO}_2\). Infrared photodissociation spectra of messenger-tagged heteronuclear clusters demonstrate that in contrast to \([\text{AlVO}_x]^+, [\text{AlVO}_x]^+\) is devoid of a terminal \(\text{Al}–\text{O}\) unit while the terminal \(V=\text{O}\) group remains intact. Thus it is the \(\text{Al}–\text{O}\) moiety that forms the active site in the oxygen atom transfer reaction \([\text{AlVO}_x]^+/\text{CO}/\text{N}_2\text{O} \ (x = 3, 4)\) redox couples, which is in line with theoretical predictions.

Arguably, the metal-mediated conversion of \(\text{CO}\) into \(\text{CO}_2\) constitutes one of the best-studied reactions in both the gas phase and at surfaces[1] and in the gas phase.[2] Catalytic conversions of harmful gases that are produced in fossil-fuel combustions, such as \(\text{CO}\) or the oxides of nitrogen, into \(\text{N}_2\) and \(\text{CO}_2\) are of particular importance, both environmentally as well as economically. While these redox reactions are quite exothermic, for example, \(\Delta H = -365 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}\) for the reaction \(\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{CO} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{CO}_2\), they do not occur directly at any measurable extent at either room or elevated temperature. This impediment is due to the high activation barriers, which exceed 197 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for the \(\text{N}_2\text{O}/\text{CO}\) system. Catalysts are required to bypass the barrier by opening up new, energetically less demanding pathways. The combination of computational studies with gas-phase experiments has proven helpful in this endeavor, shedding new light on the catalytic mechanisms that operate at a strictly atomistic level.[3] For example, in a landmark experiment, Kappes and Staley[3] demonstrated that a single \(\text{Fe}^+\) atom can superbly mediate the coupled redox processes described in Equations (1) and (2) under ambient conditions. Theoretical work, complemented by experimental studies over an extended temperature regime, revealed fascinating details concerning the electronic structure requirements and dynamic aspects of oxygen atom transfers (OATs) in this system,[4] which involve a two-state reactivity scenario.[5]

Subsequently, numerous other atomic main-group and transition-metal cations have been tested, mostly by the Böhm group[6] and others[7-9]. More recently, the focus of activity has shifted to the investigation of metal oxide clusters, in particular heteronuclear ones, to uncover the underlying mechanisms.[10] Here, one of the pertinent challenges concerns the identification of the active site in the metal oxide cluster, the so-called aristocratic atom.[9]

The redox couple \([\text{AlVO}_x]^+/\text{CO}/\text{N}_2\text{O} \ (x = 3, 4)\) may serve as a good example.[10] At room temperature and under single-collision conditions, the heteronuclear oxide cluster \([\text{AlVO}_x]^{+}\) is reduced to \([\text{AlVO}_x]^+\) in the presence of \(\text{CO}\), and if \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) is added, re-oxidation occurs. Both OATs proceed cleanly and with reaction efficiencies of 59% and 65%, relative to the collision rate, respectively. In principle, the turnover number (TON) of this ideal catalytic cycle (Scheme 1) is infinite; however, in reality, the TON is limited by side reactions with background impurities, for example, hydrogen atom abstraction from hydrocarbons.[10]

DFT calculations have provided insight into parts of the mechanism responsible for these redox processes. Furthermore, theory predicted that in the course of the OAT (Scheme 1), the active site should involve exclusively the terminal \(\text{Al}–\text{O}\) unit of \([\text{AlVO}_x]^+\). In contrast, the \(V=\text{O}\) moiety of \([\text{AlVO}_x]^+\) cannot provide an oxygen atom in the...
oxidation of CO on both kinetic and thermodynamic grounds.\[10\]

By definition, the active site of the cluster cannot be identified in a labeling experiment with, for example, \([\text{AlV}^{18}\text{O}]^{+}/\text{CO}/\text{N}_2/\text{O}_2\). Nevertheless, inspection of the branching ratio for the final product pairs \(\text{CO}/[\text{AlV}^{18}\text{O}]^{+}\) versus \([\text{C}^{18}\text{O}]/[\text{AlV}^{18}\text{O}]^{+}\) reveals some interesting details (Scheme 2). The first step, that is, the reduction of \([\text{AlV}^{18}\text{O}]^{+}\) to \([\text{AlV}^{18}\text{O}_2]^{+}\) by CO, occurs without any measurable \(^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}\) exchange, and the trioxide is cleanly generated. Similarly, re-oxidation of the latter with \(\text{N}_2/\text{O}_2\) gives rise to \([\text{AlV}^{18}\text{O}_2]^{+}\) exclusively. Based on CCSD(T, full)/CBS/B3LYP-D3(BJ)/cc-pVTZ calculations, this re-oxidation step of the cluster is extremely exothermic (\(-219\ \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\)); thus, while part of the liberated energy is carried off as kinetic energy, the product ion generated is rotationally quite hot, and in the absence of (or prior to) efficient collisional cooling, it may undergo degenerate intramolecular isomerization processes in the course of which the Al–O unit is converted to some extent into an Al–\(\text{O}^{18}\) group.\[11\] Consequently, in the final reaction of \([\text{AlV}^{18}\text{O}_2]^{+}\) with CO, both unlabeled and labeled carbon dioxide molecules are liberated with a branching ratio of approximately 2.8:1. Variation of the delay time between the individual reaction steps or changing the thermalization conditions, which is limited in our experimental FT-ICR set-up, did not bring about any substantial changes in this branching ratio. Therefore, the question then still remains open as to which of the two terminal MO bonds (M = V, Al) of the metal oxide cluster is involved in the redox process.

Herein, we verify this theoretical prediction\[10\] by recording gas-phase infrared photodissociation (IRPD) spectra of messenger-tagged \([\text{AlVO}]^{+}\) (\(x = 3, 4\)) using the intense and widely tunable radiation from an IR free electron laser (FEL)\[12\] and comparing them with simulated IR spectra of various isomers.\[13\] The result is unambiguous and confirms the theoretical analysis.

Figure 1 shows the computed harmonic IR spectra of four stable \([\text{AlVO}]^{+}\) isomers (1–4) as well as that of isomer 1 “tagged” with He as a messenger atom (1·He); for technical details and references, see the Supporting Information). The global ground state of \([\text{AlVO}]^{+}\) is a doublet electronic state. Its structure is characterized by a four-membered V–(\(\mu\)-O)–Al ring containing terminal VO and AlO units (Figure 1, 1); similar bonding patterns have previously been reported for \([\text{ZrO}]^{+}\),\[14\] \([\text{V}_2\text{O}]^{+}\),\[15\] \([\text{CeVO}]^{+}\),\[16\] \([\text{VPO}]^{+}\),\[17\] \([\text{Al}_2\text{O}]^{+}\),\[18\] and \([\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_3]^{+}\).\[19\] The other isomers considered were predicted to be \(>100\ \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\) higher in energy, ruling them out as possible candidates purely on energetic grounds. These isomers include the chain-like structure 4 (121 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) and the two cyclic variants containing a terminal Al(\(\text{O}^{18}\)) group (2; 140 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) and a terminal VO unit (3; 100 kJ mol\(^{-1}\))

**Scheme 2.** \(^{16}\text{O}/^{18}\text{O}\) labeling experiments.

**Figure 1.** Simulated IR spectra of \(^{1}\text{H}/^{1}\text{He}, \ 2, 3, \) and \(4\) as calculated at the B3LYP-D3(BJ)/cc-pVTZ level of theory. The numbers in red give the relative energies (in kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) of different isomers of the \([\text{AlVO}]^{+}\) species as calculated at the CCSD(T,full)/CBS/cc-pVTZ(D:T)/B3LYP-D3(D)/cc-pVTZ level of theory. Harmonic frequencies of the V=O modes are scaled by 0.9167, and all other modes by 0.9832. For further details, see Table 1 as well as Table S1 and Figure S1.
163 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)). Note that tagging cluster I with a helium atom does not perturb the IR spectrum significantly.

A comparison of the computed IR spectra of the \([\text{AlVO}_2]^+\) isomers 1 to 4 (Figure 1) with the experimental IRPD spectrum of He-tagged \([\text{AlVO}_2]^+\) (panel I in Figure 2) leaves no doubt that the global-minimum-energy structure I is formed exclusively upon laser ablation (see the Supporting Information, Figure S2) and subsequent helium tagging in the cold ion trap. Comparing the relevant frequencies (Table 1) shows satisfying to excellent agreement given the limitations of the harmonic approximation used in the simulation.

The three intense IRPD bands A–C proved to be particularly diagnostic for the discussion from which site of the heteronuclear cluster \([\text{AlVO}_2]^+\) the oxygen atom is abstracted in the reduction. Band A at 1032 cm\(^{-1}\) was assigned to the terminal V=O, stretching mode of I, which is in line with the IRPD data reported previously for \([\text{V}_2\text{O}_4]^+\)\(^{-1}\) and \([\text{VPO}_3]^+\)\(^{-1}\)\(^{17}\). Bands B (943 cm\(^{-1}\)) and C (817 cm\(^{-1}\)) correspond to the stretching of the terminal Al–O group and the symmetric OVO ring stretching mode, respectively.

When \([\text{AlVO}_2]^+\) was generated directly by laser ablation (Figure S2) and subsequently tagged with He, its IRPD spectrum (Figure II in Figure 2) was in excellent agreement with the one simulated for \(5\text{He}\), confirming that also in this case, the global-minimum-energy isomer is formed exclusively. This species is devoid of an Al–O bond but contains a V=O bond. In contrast, isomer 6, in which the Al–O unit is preserved, is 106 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) higher in energy than 5, and, more importantly, the predicted IR spectrum of 6-He does not match the experimental one (Figure 2).

Before moving on, a brief comment on the origin of the weaker IR band B (783 cm\(^{-1}\)) in panel II, which was assigned to the symmetric OVO ring stretching mode, might be helpful. This mode gives rise to the strong absorption band C in \([\text{AlVO}_2]^+\)–He (panel I), and the substantial drop in intensity is

---

**Table 1**: Experimental band labels and positions, scaled harmonic B3LYP-D3(BJ)/cc-pVTZ vibrational wavenumbers, and band assignments. Values in parentheses indicate the FWHM of the observed bands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System/band</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Scaled harmonic wavenumber</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\text{AlVO}_2])(^+)</td>
<td>1094 (17)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>overtone antisymmetric AlO(_2) stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1032 (19)</td>
<td>1034(^{17})</td>
<td>VO stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>943 (16)</td>
<td>930(^{17})</td>
<td>AlO stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>817 (18)</td>
<td>839(^{17})</td>
<td>symmetric VO(_2) stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>769 (28)</td>
<td>781(^{17})</td>
<td>antisymmetric VO(_2) stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>651 (16)</td>
<td>641(^{17})</td>
<td>symmetric AlO(_2) stretch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

When \([\text{AlVO}_2]^+\) was generated directly by laser ablation (Figure S2) and subsequently tagged with He, its IRPD spectrum (Figure II in Figure 2) was in excellent agreement with the one simulated for \(5\text{He}\), confirming that also in this case, the global-minimum-energy isomer is formed exclusively. This species is devoid of an Al–O bond but contains a V=O bond. In contrast, isomer 6, in which the Al–O unit is preserved, is 106 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) higher in energy than 5, and, more importantly, the predicted IR spectrum of 6-He does not match the experimental one (Figure 2).

Before moving on, a brief comment on the origin of the weaker IR band B (783 cm\(^{-1}\)) in panel II, which was assigned to the symmetric OVO ring stretching mode, might be helpful. This mode gives rise to the strong absorption band C in \([\text{AlVO}_2]^+\)–He (panel I), and the substantial drop in intensity is
due to the rather small change in the dipole moments associated with this vibration for the \(\text{[AlVO}_4\text{]}^+\) cluster (for details, see Figure S1).

Next, we will address the central question as to whether isomer 5 is also and solely generated from the redox couple \(\text{[AlVO}_4\text{]}^+/\text{CO}\). This experiment was performed with CO seeded in He gas at slightly higher temperatures (60 K) to avoid CO condensation on the ion trap walls. The obtained time-of-flight mass spectrum (see Figure S3) shows that also under multiple collision conditions, \(\text{[AlVO}_4\text{]}^+\) is very efficiently (> 98%, see Figure S3) converted into \(\text{[AlVO}_4\text{]}^+\). Additional collisions with CO molecules cannot be avoided under the present conditions, but exclusively lead to “solvated” \(\text{[AlVO}_4\text{]}^+\) clusters of the type \(\text{[AlVO}_4\text{]}^+(\text{CO})_x\), of which the \(\text{[AlVO}_4\text{]}^+(\text{CO})_2\) complex is formed predominantly (Figure S2). Its IRPD spectrum, obtained by monitoring the CO loss channels, is shown in Figure 2 (panel III). Comparison with the simulated IR spectra of isomers 5 (CO), and 6 (CO), clearly demonstrates that it is indeed the main-group aluminum oxide that delivers its oxygen atom in the redox process.[20] This observation, namely that main-group oxides rather than transition-metal oxides serve as the active site in various catalytic transformations, seems to be a rather general structural feature of quite a few metal oxide clusters,[21] moreover, as shown in a different context, these centers also play a decisive role in the thermal activation of strong C–H bonds by metal-attached oxyl groups.[22]

In summary, we have used IRPD spectroscopy to unambiguously identify the active site of the heterogeneous metal oxide cluster \(\text{[AlVO}_4\text{]}^+\), which serves as a computationally tractable gas-phase model system for studying OAT reactions as part of more complex catalytic cycles.[23] The strategy employed here, which is based on using highly sensitive mass spectrometry for screening potentially interesting reactions and then applying the more complex methodology of cryogenic ion vibrational spectroscopy, in combination with electronic structure calculations, to resolve structural issues involving isobaric and isomeric species, is generally applicable and will prove helpful in future experiments, for example, in the context of coupling methane with carbon dioxide in the presence of metal-based heterogeneous catalysis.[24]
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[11] A reviewer has surmised that in the oxidation of \(\text{[AlVO}_4\text{]}^+\) with \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\), electronically excited \(\text{[AlVO}_4\text{]}^+\) may have been co-generated. While this process is spin-forbidden and part of the liberated \(219 \text{kJmol}^{-1}\) energy is carried away as kinetic energy, from an energetic point of view, this possibility cannot be ruled out as \(\text{[AlVO}_4\text{]}^+\) is “only” \(130 \text{kJmol}^{-1}\) higher in energy than ground-state \(\text{[AlVO}_4\text{]}^+\).


Actually, structure 6-(CO), should be better viewed as a complex of [AlVO₃]⁻ tagged with five CO and one CO₂ ligands. Thus, if this species was generated at all in the redox reaction, one would expect loss of n CO and CO₂ molecules upon photon absorption. However, this is not the case.

Note added in proof (16 May 2018): Dr. A. Viggiano has informed us (15 May 2018) about his experimental/computational studies on the role of non-reactive binding sites in the [AlVO₃]⁻/CO/N₂O (x = 3, 4) redox systems. In line with our findings, the Al–O site constitutes the active center, and (unproductive) complexation of the vanadium site by N₂O is the root cause for the reduced efficiency of the redox process.